**PATENT** 

DOCKET NO.: 119645-00103.3

Application No.: 09/592,309

Office Action Dated: September 17, 2008

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application in view of the following remarks is requested.

Claims 1-27 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 11 and 18 have been amended.

Claims 4 and 13 have been canceled.

In the Official Action dated September 21, 2008, the Examiner rejects claims 1-3, 8-

12, 14, 15, 17-23 and 25-27 under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over England in view of

U.S. Patent No. 6,108,687 to Craig ("Craig"), and claims 5-7 under 35 USC §103(a) as

unpatentable over England in view Craig, in further view of Rutledge et al, "Using Microsoft

PowerPoint 2000." Further, the Examiner rejects claim 16-24 under 35 USC §103(a) as

unpatentable over England in view of Craig, in further view of the Examiner's Official

Notice.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner determination that claims 4 and 13 contain

allowable subject matter.

Specification

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner discusses the proper use of a trademark

in a patent specification and proper designation. Applicant has reviewed the specification

and believes it is in accordance with § 608.01(v) of the MPEP in regard to clarity and

distinguishing of the trademarks used in the specification. Applicant requests the Examiner

to specifically describe any improper designation of any trademark(s) in the specification and

the location in the specification for correction by the Applicant. The Applicant appreciates

the Examiners assistance in this matter.

Page 14 of 16

119645.00103/21724408v.1

PATENT

**DOCKET NO.:** 119645-00103.3 **Application No.:** 09/592,309

Office Action Detect Sector

Office Action Dated: September 17, 2008

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The Examiner rejects claims 1-3, 8-12, 14, 15, 17-23 and 25-28 under 35 USC

§103(a) as unpatentable over England in view of Craig.

Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

Applicant has amended claims 1, 8, 9, 11 and 18 to include the allowable subject

matter in claims 4 and 13. Specifically, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include claim 4

and has amended claim 18 to include claim 13. Further, Applicant has amended claims 8 and

11 to include the allowable subject matter of claim 4 and has amended claim 9 to include the

allowable subject matter of claim 13. Applicant respectfully submits claims 1, 8, 9, 11 and

18 as amended and all claims that depend from claims 1, 8, 9, 11 and 18 (directly or

indirectly) are in condition for allowance and requests the Examiner move these claims to

allowance.

The Examiner rejects claims 5-7 under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over England

in view of Craig, in further view of Rutledge et al, "Using Microsoft PowerPoint 2000."

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant has amended claim 5 to include the allowable subject matter of claim 13.

Applicant respectfully submits claim 5 as amended and all claims that depend from claim 5

are in condition for allowance and request the Examiner move these claims to allowance.

The Examiner rejects claims 16 and 24 under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over

England in view of Craig, in further view of Examiner's Official Notice.

Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Applicant has amended claim 1 and claim 18 to include the allowable subject matter

of claims 4 and 13 (respectively). Applicant respectfully submits claims 1 and 18 as

Page 15 of 16

**DOCKET NO.:** 119645-00103.3 **PATENT** 

Application No.: 09/592,309

Office Action Dated: September 17, 2008

amended and claims 16 and 24 which depend from claims 1 and 18, are in condition for

allowance and request the Examiner move these claims to allowance.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that the pending

claims, 1-27, distinguish over the prior art, and respectfully requests that the Examiner

withdraw the 35 USC §103 rejections.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that pending claims

1-27 are allowable, that the application is in condition for allowance, and requests that the

Examiner issue an early notice of allowance. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned

attorney in the event that a telephone interview will advance prosecution of this application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any

overpayment of the fees associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 02-

2555.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 3, 2008

Registration No. 48,897

Blank Rome LLP One Logan Square 18th & Cherry Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-569-5421

215-832-5421